

The Edge Dependent Characteristic of Cycles

Rikio Ichishima¹ and Akito Oshima²

(Received November 20, 2018)

Abstract: A graph G is called strongly k -indexable if there exists a bijective function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, |V(G)| - 1\}$ such that each $uv \in E(G)$ is labeled $f(u) + f(v)$ and the resulting edge labels is $\{k, k+1, \dots, k+|E(G)|-1\}$ for some positive integer k . The edge dependent characteristic of a graph G is either the smallest nonnegative integer n with the property that $G \cup nK_2$ is strongly k -indexable for some positive integer k or $+\infty$ if there exists no such integer n . In this paper, we provide the formula for the edge dependent characteristic of all cycles, which settles the question raised by Hegde and Shetty [6].

Key Words: edge dependent characteristic, strongly k -indexable labeling, super edge-magic labeling, graph labeling, cycle

1. Introduction

Only graphs without loops or multiple edges will be considered in this paper. Undefined graph theoretical notation and terminology can be found in [2]. The *vertex set* and *edge set* of a graph G are represented by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The *union* $G_1 \cup G_2$ of two subgraphs G_1 and G_2 of a graph G is the subgraph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. As usual, the *cycle* with n vertices is denoted by C_n .

For the sake of notational convenience, we will denote the interval of integers k such that $i \leq k \leq j$ by simply writing $[i, j]$. On the other hand, if $a > b$, then we treat $[a, b]$ as the empty set. If such situations appear in particular formulas for a given vertex labeling, then we ignore the corresponding portions of formulas.

The notion of a strongly k -indexable graph was introduced in 1991 by Acharya and Hegde [1]. A graph G is called *strongly k -indexable* if there exists a bijective function $f : V(G) \rightarrow [0, |V(G)| - 1]$ such that each $uv \in E(G)$ is labeled $f(u) + f(v)$ and the resulting edge labels is $[k, k + |E(G)| - 1]$ for some positive integer k .

The notion of edge-magic labelings was introduced in 1970 by Kotzig and Rosa [8]. These labelings were originally called “magic valuations” by them. These were rediscovered in 1996 by Ringel and Lladó [9] who coined one of the now popular terms for them: edge-magic labelings. For a graph G , a bijective function $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow [1, |V(G)| + |E(G)|]$ is called an *edge-magic labeling* if $f(u) + f(v) + f(uv)$ is a constant (called the valence of f) for each $uv \in E(G)$. If such a labeling exists, then G is called an *edge-magic graph*. In 1998, Enomoto et al. [3] defined a slightly restricted version of an edge-magic labeling f of a graph G by requiring that $f(V(G)) = [1, |V(G)|]$. Such a labeling was called by them *super edge-magic*. Thus, a *super edge-magic graph* is a graph that admits a super edge-magic labeling.

The following result found in [4] provides us with a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be super edge-magic.

¹ Department of Sport and Physical Education, Faculty of Physical Education, Kokushikan University, 7-3-1 Nagayama, Tama-shi, Tokyo 206-8515, Japan

² Graph Theory and Applications Research Group, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, The University of Newcastle, NSW 2308 Australia

Lemma 1. *A graph G is super edge-magic if and only if there exists a bijective function $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, |V(G)|]$ such that the set*

$$\{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

consists of $|E(G)|$ consecutive integers.

In light of Lemma 1, it is sufficient to exhibit the vertex labeling of a super edge-magic graph.

According to the latest version of dynamic survey by Gallian [5] available to authors, Hegde and Shetty [7] established the following relation among super edge-magic and strongly k -indexable graphs in 2002.

Lemma 2. *A graph G is super edge-magic with valence $\text{val}(G)$ if and only if G is strongly k -indexable, where $k = \text{val}(G) - |V(G)| - |E(G)|$.*

2. The Edge Dependent Characteristic of Cycles

The notion of edge dependent characteristic was introduced by Hegde and Shetty [6]. The *edge dependent characteristic* $e_c(G)$ of a graph G is either the smallest nonnegative integer n with the property that $G \cup nK_2$ is strongly k -indexable for some positive integer k or $+\infty$ if there exists no such integer n . They further showed that $e_c(C_6) = 1$ and constructed polygon \mathcal{P}_{12} of equal internal angles with sides of distinct lengths from a strongly 4-indexable labeling of $C_6 \cup K_2$. Moreover, they asked to compute $e_c(C_{4n+2})$ for every positive integer n . In this section, we answer this question by determining the formula for the edge dependent characteristic of all cycles.

The following result, due to Enomoto et al [3], characterizes super edge-magic cycles.

Theorem 1. *The cycle C_n is super edge-magic if and only if $n \geq 3$ is odd.*

With the aid of Theorem 1, it is now possible to provide the formula for the edge dependent characteristic of cycles as the next result indicates.

Theorem 2. *For every integer $n \geq 3$,*

$$e_c(C_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, assume that n is odd. In this case, Enomoto et al [3] found a super edge-magic labeling of C_n with valence $(5n + 3)/2$. It follows from Lemma 2 that C_n is strongly $(n + 3)/2$ -indexable, that is, $e_c(C_n) = 0$.

Next, assume that n is even. Then, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, the cycle C_n is not strongly k -indexable and thus $e_c(C_n) \geq 1$. To show that $e_c(C_n) \leq 1$, let $G \cong C_n \cup K_2$ be the graph with

$$V(G) = \{u_i \mid i \in [1, m]\} \cup \{x, y\}$$

and

$$E(G) = \{u_1 u_m\} \cup \{u_i u_{i+1} \mid i \in [1, m-1]\} \cup \{xy\},$$

and consider the following cases according to the possible values for the integer n .

Case 1: For $n = 4$, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 6]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(u_i))_{i=1}^4 &= (2, 3, 5, 4); \\ f(x) &= 1; \text{ and} \\ f(y) &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence 16. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly 3-indexable.

Case 2: For $n = 6$, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 8]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(u_i))_{i=1}^6 &= (2, 4, 3, 7, 5, 6); \\ f(x) &= 1; \text{ and} \\ f(y) &= 8. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence 21. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly 4-indexable.

Case 3: For $n = 10$, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 12]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(u_i))_{i=1}^{10} &= (2, 6, 3, 7, 4, 11, 5, 9, 8, 10); \\ f(x) &= 1; \text{ and} \\ f(y) &= 12. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence 31. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly 6-indexable.

Case 4: For $n = 14$, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 16]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(u_i))_{i=1}^{14} &= (2, 10, 3, 11, 4, 6, 5, 13, 9, 15, 8, 12, 7, 14); \\ f(x) &= 1; \text{ and} \\ f(y) &= 16. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence 41. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly 8-indexable.

Case 5: For $m = 8k$, where k is a positive integer, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 8k + 2]$ such that

$$f(u_j) = \begin{cases} 4k + i + 1, & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k]; \\ i, & \text{if } j = 2i \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k]; \\ 6k + 2i + 2, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i - 3 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 2k + 2i + 1, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i - 2 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 6k + 2i + 1, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 2k + 2i, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \end{cases}$$

$f(x) = 2k + 1$; and $f(y) = 6k + 2$. Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence $20k + 6$. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly $(4k + 1)$ -indexable.

Case 6: For $m = 8k + 4$, where k is a positive integer, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 8k + 6]$

such that

$$f(u_j) = \begin{cases} 4k + i + 3, & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 1]; \\ i, & \text{if } j = 2i \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 1]; \\ 6k + 2i + 5, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 2k + 2i + 2, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i \text{ and } i \in [1, k - 1]; \\ 6k + 2i + 4, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, k - 1]; \\ 2k + 2i + 1, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 2 \text{ and } i \in [1, k - 1]; \\ 4k + 1, & \text{if } j = 8k; \\ 8k + 4, & \text{if } j = 8k + 1; \\ 4k + 3, & \text{if } j = 8k + 2; \\ 8k + 6, & \text{if } j = 8k + 3; \\ 4k + 2, & \text{if } j = 8k + 4; \end{cases}$$

$f(x) = 2k + 2$; and $f(y) = 6k + 5$. Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence $20k + 16$. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly $(4k + 3)$ -indexable.

Case 7: For $m = 8k + 10$, where k is a positive integer, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 8k + 12]$ such that

$$f(u_j) = \begin{cases} i + 1, & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 4]; \\ 4k + i + 5, & \text{if } j = 2i \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 2]; \\ 6k + 11, & \text{if } j = 4k + 6; \\ 6k + 9, & \text{if } j = 4k + 8; \\ 6k + 8, & \text{if } j = 4k + 9; \\ 6k + 2i + 8, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 6 \text{ and } i \in [1, k + 1]; \\ 2k + 2i + 5, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 7 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 6k + 2i + 11, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 8 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 2k + 2i + 4, & \text{if } j = 4k + 4i + 9 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \end{cases}$$

$f(x) = 1$; and $f(y) = 8k + 12$. Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence $20k + 31$. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly $(4k + 6)$ -indexable.

Case 8: For $m = 8k + 14$, where k is a positive integer, define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow [1, 8k + 16]$ such that

$$f(u_j) = \begin{cases} i + 1, & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 4]; \\ 4k + i + 9, & \text{if } j = 2i \text{ and } i \in [1, k + 2]; \\ 5k + i + 12, & \text{if } j = 2k + 2i + 4 \text{ and } i \in [1, k]; \\ 2k + 6, & \text{if } j = 4k + 6; \\ 6k + 13, & \text{if } j = 4k + 8; \\ 4k - i + 10, & \text{if } j = 4k + 2i + 7 \text{ and } i \in [1, 2k + 3]; \\ 8k - i + 16, & \text{if } j = 4k + 2i + 8 \text{ and } i \in [1, k + 1]; \\ 5k + 12, & \text{if } j = 6k + 12; \\ 7k - i + 15, & \text{if } j = 6k + 2i + 12 \text{ and } i \in [1, k + 1]; \end{cases}$$

$f(x) = 1$; and $f(y) = 8k + 16$. Then, by Lemma 1, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with valence $20k + 41$. Thus, by Lemma 2, G is strongly $(4k + 8)$ -indexable.

Therefore, we conclude that $e_c(G) = 1$ when n is even, completing the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Francesc A. Muntaner-Batlé for the careful reading, and for the many comments and suggestions that improved the readability of this paper.

References

- [1] B.D. Acharya and S.M. Hegde, Strongly indexable graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 123-129.
- [2] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs*, Wadsworth & Brook/Cole Advanced Books and Software, Monterey, Calif. 1986.
- [3] H. Enomoto, A. Lladó, T. Nakamigawa and G. Ringel: Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.*, **34** (1998), 105-109.
- [4] R.M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F.A. Muntaner-Batlé, The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings, *Discrete Math.*, **231** (2001) 153-168.
- [5] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.*, (2018) #DS6.
- [6] S.M. Hegde and S. Shetty, Strongly indexable graphs and applications, *Discrete Math.*, **309** (2009) 6160-6168.
- [7] S.M. Hegde and S. Shetty, Strongly k -indexable and super edge magic labelings are equivalent, preprint.
- [8] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **13** (1970) 451-461.
- [9] G. Ringel and A. Lladó, Another tree conjecture, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **18** (1996) 83-85.